

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/694,514	10/23/2000	Timothy M. Moore	205724	9639
23460	7590 06/15/2005		EXAM	INER
LEYDIG VOIT & MAYER, LTD TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900 180 NORTH STETSON AVENUE			ZAND, KAMBIZ	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHICAGO,	IL 60601-6780	2132	-	
			D. 4777 14 14 1777 ACM 5/0000	_

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	·					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
·	09/694,514	MOORE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kambiz Zand	2132				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory are solved to be statute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 March 2005</u> . 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-11,16-28 and 33-36 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11,16-28 and 33-36 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected t the drawing(s) be held in abey rection is required if the drawir	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
•		Kampiz Tand				
		Kambiz Zand				
Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/14/05.	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

رم

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/694,514

Art Unit: 2132

DETAILED ACTION

- The text of those sections of Title 35,U.S.Code not included in this section can be found in the prior office action.
- 2. The prior office actions are incorporated herein by reference. In particular, the observations with respect to claim language, and response to previously presented arguments.
- 3. Claims 12-15 and 29-32 have been cancelled.
- 4. Claims 1, 5, 6, 16, 20, 21 and 33 have been amended.
- 5. Claims 1-11, 16-28 and 33-36 are pending.
- 6. Examiner withdraws objection to the claims 12-15 and 29-32 due correction by the applicant.
- 7. Examiner withdraws rejection of claim 6 under 35 U.S.C 112-second paragraphs due to correction by the applicant.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. Claims 1-9, 16, 20-26 and 33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diffie et al (5,371,794 A) in view of Shanton (5,369,702 A).

Application/Control Number: 09/694,514

Art Unit: 2132

As per claims 1, 16, 20, 21 and 33 Diffie et al (5,371,794 A) disclose method, computer readable medium having computer executable instructions for providing a mobile computing machine with privileged access to a computing resource, the method comprising the steps of:

Obtaining a certificate with a unique machine identifier to facilitate authenticating an identity of the mobile computing unit; providing the certificate to an authenticator to prove the machine identity, the authenticator controlling access to the computing resource; and establishing access to the computing resource using authorization information obtained from the authenticator, the authorization information corresponding to the authenticated identity of the mobile computing unit (see abstract; fig.5a,fb and associated text that disclose the above limitations; also the method is being used between two parties; col.2, lines 38-67; col.3-5) but do not disclose access based on relative security level for a user on asserted identity. However Shanton (5,369,702 A) disclose access based on relative security level for a user on asserted identity (see abstract where every object or resource are labeled by attributes and algorithm attributes (security level) to achieve a multi level security; fig.3 and associated text; fig.6 and 8 and associated text; col.2, lines 31-57; and col.11, lines 40-48 where the nested objects are being accessed only in part based on the attributes and algorithm attached). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Shanton's access to an object method based on a user asserted identity and security level in Diffie's access method system to objects in order to control which objects are visible (accessed) to a specific user, which objects are available for

Application/Control Number: 09/694,514

Art Unit: 2132

use and which level on system implementation can become transparent (see col.5, lines 18-24).

As per claims 2-9 and 22-26 Diffie et al (5,371,794 A) disclose method, computer readable medium having computer executable instructions for wireless link, having mobile identifier, log-in features, given access to resources, having symmetric/asymmetric key, storing the certificate in the mobile unit (see fig. 1-5b and associated text).

10. Claims 10-11, 17-19, 27, 28 and 34-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Diffie et al (5,371,794 A) in view of Shanton (5,369,702 A), and further in view of Ramasubramani et al (6,233,577 B1).

As per claims 10-11, 17, 27, 28 and 34 Diffie et al (5,371,794 A) in view of Shanton (5,369,702 A) teach all limitation of the claims as applied above but do not expressly disclose using a domain controller for obtaining the certificate where the URL address is being used for receiving and sending data. However Ramasubramani et al (6,233,577 B1) disclose using a domain controller for obtaining the certificate where the URL address is being used for receiving and sending data (see fig.2 and associated text). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize Ramasubramani domain controller having URL in Diffie's mobile authentication method and system in view of Shanton's access method based on

Art Unit: 2132

asserted identity and security level in order to use the computing resources in a server device to carry out the task of obtaining and maintaining certificates asynchronously in the proxy server using HTTP, HTML. (see abstract; and fig.2 in support of the motivation).

As per claims 18-19 and 35-36 Diffie et al (5,371,794 A) disclose a method and a computer readable medium for receiving and using a key for encryption and decryption communications to/ and from the computing resource (see fig. 1-5b and associated text where receiving a symmetric or asymmetric key for encryption and decryption are being disclosed).

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/694,514 Page 6

Art Unit: 2132

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Kambiz Zand whose telephone number is (571)

272-3811. The examiner can normally reached on Monday-Thursday (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Gilberto Barron can be reached on (571) 272-3799. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned as

(703) 872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be

obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status

information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR

or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available

through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see

http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197

(toll-free).

Kambiz Zand

06/11/2005

AU 2132